May 11, 2023

The Honorable Lisa Murkowski United States Senate 522 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Roger Marshall, MD United States Senate 479A Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Maggie Hassan United States Senate 324 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Jacky Rosen United States Senate 713 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators Murkowski, Hassan, Marshall, and Rosen:

Thank you for your leadership of S. 652, the *Safe Step Act*, legislation that would create guardrails for patients subjected to step therapy protocols. The 60 undersigned medical organizations write to share our endorsement of this timely legislation, communicate how this bill will benefit our patients and our practices, and to offer ourselves as a resource to advance the *Safe Step Act* expeditiously this Congress.

The *Safe Step Act* would ensure that employer health plans and pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs) provide an expedient and medically reasonable step therapy exceptions process. The bill is highly vetted and based on legislation that has passed in 36 states. However, most of our patients still have little recourse if subjected to a medically inappropriate step therapy protocol because employer plans, and the PBMs that contract with them, are exempt from state law.

Despite claims that utilization management, including step therapy, reduce costs, our experience is that when inappropriately applied, utilization management can result in increased spending on ineffective treatments and serious adverse health care outcomes. One study estimated that the costs associated with implementing and appealing utilization management, and patients paying out of pocket for restricted treatments, can be upwards of \$90 billion annually.¹

The exceptions process outlined in the *Safe Step Act* will bolster plan negotiations with drug manufacturers by reducing wasteful spending on drugs that will not work, reducing unintentional harm to patients, and easing the administrative burden on our practices.

¹ Quantifying The Economic Burden Of Drug Utilization Management On Payers, Manufacturers, Physicians, And Patients. Scott Howell, Perry T. Yin, and James C. Robinson, Health Affairs 2021 40:8, 1206-1214

While medically inappropriate step therapy may delay a patient in accessing appropriate care for months, providers are often ultimately successful in getting coverage for the treatment.² The extended delay worsens health outcomes, but it also means that plans are spending thousands of dollars on ineffective treatments in the meantime. For example, a scenario we often see for a patient requiring anti-inflammatory biologics to treat their immune-mediated disease involves failing for six months on the first- and second-preferred treatment, which based on the list price of common preferred treatments, equates to as much as \$48,000 of wasted spending, no improvement, and a high likelihood of added surgical costs.

Unfortunately, the studies on the savings from step therapy typically focus on the prescription benefit only, and do not take into account adverse health outcomes such as surgeries, hospitalizations, and other events reflected in the medical benefit. Studies that have looked at the broader picture have found that spending in the medical benefit side can eclipse prescription savings within the plan year due to step therapy-related adverse events.³ This means that step therapy actually *increases* total plan costs in some circumstances.

Lastly, step therapy increases the cost of managing medical practices, which is ultimately paid for by insurance reimbursement. The American Medical Association's 2022 Prior Authorization Physician Survey found that 35% of respondents have staff that work exclusively on prior authorizations (PA), 86% of respondents observed that PA increased healthcare utilization, and 58% of respondents felt that PA impacted their patient's job performance.⁴

Health care providers, not health insurers or PBMs, should prescribe treatments. Providers have the training and experience with the patient to know when a step therapy protocol will be inappropriate. Streamlining the step therapy exceptions process will reduce wasteful spending, ease the administrative burden on providers, and even improve job performance.

We look forward to working with your offices to pass the *Safe Step Act* expeditiously. Thank you for your consideration and leadership on this critical issue. For additional information, please contact Megan Tweed at Megan.Tweed@asco.org.

Sincerely,

Alaska Oncology and Hematology, LLC American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology American Academy of Dermatology Association American Academy of Neurology American Academy of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery American Association of Neurological Surgeons

² Dickens, David S, and Brad H Pollock. "Medication prior authorization in pediatric hematology and oncology." Pediatric blood & cancer vol. 64,6 (2017): 10.1002/pbc.26339. doi:10.1002/pbc.26339

³ Carlton. "Review of Outcomes Associated With Formulary Restrictions: Focus on Step Therapy." AJPB February 2010 Volume 2 Issue 1

⁴ American Medical Association. "2022 Prior Authorization Physician Survey."

American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons American College of Gastroenterology American College of Mohs Surgery American College of Osteopathic Internists American College of Osteopathic Surgeons American Gastroenterological Association American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy American Society of Plastic Surgeons American Society of Retina Specialists American Urological Association Association for Clinical Oncology Association of Black Cardiologists Association of Northern California Oncologists **Cancer Center of Kansas** Coalition of Hematology and Oncology Practices **Congress of Neurological Surgeons Connecticut Oncology Association** Delaware Society for Clinical Oncology Florida Society of Clinical Oncology Georgia Society of Clinical Oncology Hawaii Society of Clinical Oncology Illinois Medical Oncology Society Indiana Oncology Society Infusion Access Foundation Iowa Oncology Society Louisiana Oncology Society Massachusetts Society of Clinical Oncologists Medical Oncology Assoc. of Southern California Michigan Society of Hematology and Oncology Mississippi Oncology Society Montana Oncology Society National Association of Spine Specialists National Infusion Center Association National Oncology State Network Nebraska Cancer Specialists and Nebraska Oncology Society Nebraska Hematology Oncology Nebraska Pharmacists Association North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition North Carolina Medical Society Northern New England Clinical Oncology Society Ohio Hematology Oncology Society Oklahoma Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. Pennsylvania Society of Oncology & Hematology Pontchartrain Cancer Center

Puerto Rico Hematology and Medical Oncology Association Pulmonary Hypertension Association Rocky Mountain Oncology Society Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates Society of Gynecologic Nurse Oncologists Society of Interventional Radiology Texas Rheumatology Care The Arizona Clinical Oncology Society Wisconsin Association of Hematologists and Oncologists Wyoming State Oncology Society

Cc: U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions